Tetrahedron Letters, Vol.26, Nb.26, pp 3151-3154, 1985 0040-4039/85 \$3.00 + .00 Printed in Great Britain

INTRAMOLECULAR REACTIONS OF ACYCLIC N-ACYLIMINIUM IONS I

PROPARGYL SILANES AS NUCLEOPHILES

Henk Hiemstra^{*}, Hendrikus P. Fortgens, Sander Stegenga, and W.Nico Speckamp^{*}, Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, University of Amsterdam, Nieuwe Achtergracht 129, 1018 WS Amsterdam, The Netherlands.

Abstract: Intramolecular reactions of acyclic N-acyliminium ions with propargyl silanes, induced by protic or Lewis acid, lead to α -allenic amides or carbamates, i.e. derivatives of 3-vinylidene-pyrrolidine, 3-vinylidene-piperidine or 1-amino-2--vinylidenecyclopentane.

N-acyliminium ions ($\underline{1}$, $\underline{2}$) have proven to be valuable intermediates in organic synthesis¹. In particular, their intramolecular electrophilic anti addition to olefinic double bonds has shown to be very useful for the synthesis of alkaloids². In elaborate applications cyclic species of type 2 have mainly been utilized, partly due to their ready accessibility from cyclic imides^{2,3}. Intramolecular reactions of acyclic species 1 with olefins are scarce⁴ and with



acetylenes are unknown as far as we know. In this context it is interesting to note that in intermolecular reactions 1 acts as a heterodiene in a Diels-Alder fashion^{1c,5}. Of course, such reactivity is impossible for 2 because of its s-trans heterodienic structure.

Recently, we reported intramolecular reactions of N-acyliminium ions of type 2 with allyl and propargyl silanes⁶, and found that these silicon containing π -nucleophiles are more reactive and sometimes show even better regiocontrol than ordinary olefins and acetylenes. It occurred to us that propargyl and allyl silanes might also engender successful intramolecular reactions with acyclic N-acyliminium ions 1. This paper describes our experiments with propargyl silanes, while the accompanying paper reports allyl silane reactions⁴.

As precursors for N-acyliminium ions we synthesized the α -ethoxyamides <u>3d</u> and <u>4d</u> and the α -ethoxycarbamates $3\underline{d}'$ and $5\underline{d}$. The alcohols $3\underline{a}-5\underline{a}^{6,7}$ served as starting materials. Pentynol $3\underline{a}$ and hexynol 4a were converted into primary amines 3b and 4b (overall yield for both cases 88%) via the sequence: a) mesylation (MeSO2Cl, Et3N, CH2Cl2, 0-20°C); b) substitution with azide (NaN3 (8 eq, DMF, 110°C, 1.5 h) and c) reduction⁸ (PPh₃, THF, 2h; then H₂O, 16 h). Imines $\underline{3}\underline{c}$ and $\underline{4}\underline{c}$ were obtained in quantitative yield by treatment of $\underline{3}\underline{b}$ and $\underline{4}\underline{b}$ with a small excess of benzaldehyde in toluene at r.t., and azeotropic removal of the water in vacuo. Imine $\underline{5}\underline{c}$ was obtained from $\underline{5}\underline{a}$ via the sequence: a) oxidation to aldehyde $\underline{5}\underline{b}$ (CrO₃, C₅H₅N, CH₂Cl₂)⁹ and b) imine formation (PhCH₂NH₂ (neat), then KOH pellets)¹⁰. Ethoxyamides $\underline{3}\underline{4}$ and $\underline{4}\underline{4}$ were synthesized in a one pot

Me_SiCH_	С	Ξ	C(CH	,)	ΩX
	,				\mathbf{r}

	<u>3a</u>	₹Ē	<u>3</u> ⊆	4 <u>a</u> ==	4₽ = ₽	4 €	5 <u>a</u>	5þ	<u>5</u> e
X	OH	™2	N=CHPh	OH	NH2	N=CHPh	OH	CHO	CH=NCH2Ph
n	2	2	2	3	3	3	4	3	3

procedure¹¹ by treating $\underline{3}\underline{c}$ and $\underline{4}\underline{c}$, successively, with 1.2 eq of acetylchloride (r.t., CH₂Cl₂, 30 min), 1.5 eq of triethylamine (2 min, r.t.) and 5 eq of anhydrous ethanol (1 h, r.t.). The pure products were obtained as colourless cils after flash chromatography in 77% ($\underline{3}\underline{d}$) and 57% ($\underline{4}\underline{d}$) overall yield from the primary amines. Their ¹H NMR spectra¹² were rather complex as a result of hindered rotation around the amide bond. Both amides were a 2.5:1 mixture of rotamers, determined by integration of the singlets from the benzylic protons (6.97 ppm for the major and 5.95 ppm for the minor rotamer in both $\underline{3}\underline{d}$ and $\underline{4}\underline{d}$). A much simpler reaction to arrive at N-acyliminium ion precursors appeared to be the addition of commercially available diethyl pyrocarbonate ($\underline{6}$) to imines with expulsion of CO₂¹³. Reaction of $\underline{3}\underline{c}$ with 1.2 eq of $\underline{6}$ for 24 h at 60°C in a small amount of ethanol furnished $\underline{3}\underline{d}$ ⁱ now showed a broad singlet at 6.5 ppm for the benzylic proton. The reaction of imine $\underline{5}\underline{c}$ with $\underline{6}$ was much faster, and was complete after 2 h at r.t. The product $\underline{5}\underline{d}$ was rather sensitive and was used in crude state for the cyclization reaction. It showed its methine proton in the ¹H NMR spectrum as a triplet (7 Hz) at 6.60 ppm.



For the cyclizations we first tried simple stirring in neat formic acid, conditions that in the past had worked best for ring closures via intermediates of type $\underline{2}^{6b}$. In this manner $\underline{3d}$ and $\underline{4d}$ were converted after 17 h at r.t. into allenes $\underline{3e}^{14}$ and $\underline{4e}^{15}$ in yields of 68% and 74%, respectively, after flash chromatography. According to ¹H NMR¹² $\underline{3e}$ was a 2:1 mixture of amide rotamers with characteristic absorptions at 4.86 (m) for the allene protons and at 5.36



(br s, major rotamer) and 5.68 (br s, minor rotamer) for the benzylic proton. Amide $\underline{4e}$ was a 1:1 mixture with allene protons at 4.88 (m) and the benzylic proton at 5.58 (s) and 6.52 (s). Yields of cyclization products were moderate due to competing hydrolysis, followed by protodesilylation giving benzaldehyde and $\underline{3f}$ or $\underline{4f}$. This side reaction was even more a problem in the cyclization of $\frac{3}{2}d'$ leading to a 1:1 mixture of $\frac{3}{2}e'$ and $\frac{3}{2}f'$. The side reaction was completely suppressed by performing the cyclization in CH2Cl2 at 0°C with Et2AlCl (3 eq) as Lewis acid. In this way $3\underline{e}'$ ¹⁶ was obtained in 55% yield after flash chromatography. The 1 H NMR spectrum¹² showed the allene protons at 4.84 (m) and the benzylic proton at 5.44 (br s). Cyclizations discussed so far were of the exo-dig-endo-trig type¹⁷. Ring closure of 5e would be of the 5-exo-dig-exo-trig type 17, and proceeded well by stirring 5d in formic acid for 1 h at r.t. to give $5e^{18}$ in about 50% overall from 5a. Characteristic signals in the ¹H NMR spectrum come from the allene protons (AB system, J=5 Hz, centered at 4.75 ppm), the benzylic protons (AB system, J= 16 Hz, 4.66 and 4.26 ppm) and the methine proton (br, 5.16 ppm). In summary, we have shown that acyclic N-acyliminium ions of type 1 react well as electrophiles in intramolecular reactions with propargyl silanes. The products are hetero- or carbocyclic a-allenic amides or carbamates, which may be of interest for two reasons. First, allenes of increasing utility in synthesis¹⁹. Second, the allenes described here are precursors to α -allenic amines, which in certain cases exhibit physiological activity as mechanism based enzyme inhibitors²⁰.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT: Thanks are due to Miss Karen H. Melching and Miss Johanna M. Zonjee for preliminary experiments, and to Mr. C. Kruk and his staff for NMR measurements.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

Reviews: a) W.N. Speckamp, <u>Rec.Trav.Chim.Pays-Bas</u>, <u>100</u>, 345 (1981); b) T. Shono, <u>Tetrahedron</u>, <u>40</u>, 827 (1984); c) H.E. Zaugg, <u>Synthesis</u>, 85, 181 (1984). 2 Review on intramolecular reactions: W.N. Speckamp, H. Hiemstra, submitted for publication. 3 J.C. Hubert, J.B.P.A. Wijnberg, W.N. Speckamp, Tetrahedron, 31, 1437 (1975). 4 H. Hiemstra, H.P. Fortgens, W.N. Speckamp, following communication in this issue. 5 R.R. Schmidt, Synthesis, 333 (1972); Angew.Chem., 85, 235 (1973); Angew.Chem.Int.Ed.Engl., <u>12, 212 (1973)</u>. 6 a) H. Hiemstra, W.N. Speckamp, <u>Tetrahedron Lett.</u>, <u>24</u>, 1407 (1983); b) H. Hiemstra,
W.J. Klaver, W.N. Speckamp, <u>J.Org.Chem.</u>, <u>49</u>, 1149 (1984). 7 H. Hiemstra, M.H.A.M. Sno, R.J. Vijn, W.N. Speckamp, submitted for publication. 8 M. Vaultier, N. Knouzi, R. Carrié, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 763 (1983). 9 R. Ratcliffe, R. Rodehorst, <u>J.Org.Chem.</u>, <u>35</u>, 4000 (1970). 10 K.N. Campbell, A.H. Sommers, B.K. Campbell, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 66, 82 (1944). 11 H. Böhme, K. Hartke, Chem.Ber., <u>96</u>, 600 (1963). All ¹H NMR data reported in this paper were determined at 100 MHz in CDCl₃ as solvent 12 (Me_{ll}Si at 0 ppm). 13 a) G.R. Lenz, C.-M. Woo, B.L. Hawkins, <u>J.Org.Chem.</u>, <u>47</u>, 3049 (1982); b) C. Exon, T. Gallagher, P. Magnus, <u>J.Am.Chem.Soc</u>., <u>105</u>, 4739 (1983). IR (neat liq): 1970, 1640 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃, major rotamer): δ 202.1 (s), 170.1 (s), 141.9 (s), 128.7 (2C, d), 127.5 (d), 125.2 (2C, d), 104.5 (s), 79.3 (t), 63.8 (d), 46.0 (t), 26.9 (t), 22.3 (q); exact mass: calcd for $C_{14}H_{15}NO$ 213.1153, found 14 213.1133. IR (neat liq): 1965, 1635 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃, major rotamer): δ 205.2 (s), 170.1 (s), 137.7 (s), 129.0 (2C, d) 126.9 (d), 126.2 (2C, d), 98.0 (s), 75.2 (t), 61.2 (d), 37.6 (t), 26.1 (t), 25.8 (t), 21.7 (q); exact mass: called for C₁₅H₁₇NO 227.1310, found 227.1297. 16 IR (neat liq): 1970, 1695 cm⁻¹; ¹³C NMR (62.9 MHz, CDCl₃): 6 202.4 (s), 128.2 (2C, d), 127.0 (d), 125.7 (2C, d), 78.9 (t), 62.6 (d), 61.1 (t), 46.3 (t), 28.4 (br t), 14.3 (q); remaining carbons were not observed due to hindered rotation causing very broad signals; exact mass: calcd for C₁₅H₁₇NO₂ 243.1259, found 243.1256. 17 a) J.E. Baldwin, J.Chem.Soc.Chem.Commun., 734 (1976); b) A.W. Lochead, G.R. Proctor, M.P.L. Caton, <u>J.Chem.Soc.Perkin 1</u>, 2477 (1984). 18 IR (neat lig): 1965, 1700 cm⁻¹. 19 For some diverse recent examples see: a) Y. Morizawa, H. Oda, K. Oshima, H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 1163 (1984); b). H. Hiemstra, W.J. Klaver, M.J. Moolenaar, W.N. Speckamp, Ibid, 25, 5453 (1984); H.J. Reich, E.K. Eisenhart, J.Org.Chem., 49, 5282 (1984). 20 a) A. Krantz, G.S. Lipkowitz, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 99, 4156 (1977); b) C. Sahlberg, S.B. Ross, I. Fagervall, A.-L. Ask, A. Claesson, J.Med.Chem., 26, 1036 (1983); c) A.L. Castelhano, A. Krantz, J.Am.Chem.Soc., 106, 1877 (1984); d) A.L. Castelhano, D.H. Pliura, G.J. Taylor, K.C. Hsieh, A. Krantz, Ibid, 106, 2734 (1984); e) P. Casara, K. Jund, P. Bey, Tetrahedron Lett., 25, 1891 (1984); f) H. Hiemstra, H.P. Fortgens, W.N. Speckamp, 1bid, 25, 3115 (1984). (Received in UK 7 May 1985)